arXiv:0909.2773v1 [gr-qc] 15 Sep 2009

New geometry with the Poincaré symmetry

Chao-Guang Huang[1,*] Yu Tian[2,†] Xiao-Ning Wu[3,‡] Zhan Xu[4,§] and Bin Zhou[5¶]
[1] *Institute of High Energy Physics, and Theoretical Physics Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
[2] *Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
[3] *Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*
[4] *Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China and*
[5] *Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

The new 4d geometry with the Poincaré symmetry is presented and its structure is analyzed. The new geometry can be regarded as the Poincaré-invariant solution of the vacuum Einstein field equations with a negative cosmological constant and provides a static cosmological space-time with a Lobachesky space. The motion of free particles in the space-time is discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 04.90.+e, 04.20.Jb, 02.20.Sv

Introduction. — The Poincaré symmetry is the foundation of Einstein's special relativity, relativistic field theories in Minkowski space-time, particle physics, as well as the Poincaré gauge theories of gravity, etc. It is well known that conventionally only the Minkowski space-time is invariant under global Poincaré transformations.

Recently, it has been found that as kinematic symmetry [1] there is another Poincaré symmetry that preserves the Minkowski lightcone at origin [2, 3].

The aim of the letter is to present a new nontrivial 4d geometry with the Poincaré symmetry and to explore its geometric structure. We show that the new geometry is the Poincaré-invariant solution of the degenerate extension of the vacuum Einstein field equations with a negative cosmological constant and provides a static cosmological space-time with a Lobachesky space. The motion of free particles in the space-time is also discussed.

The Poincaré symmetry. — In the algebraic point of view, an algebra is said to be Poincaré one if (1) it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)$ algebra, (2) the unique Abelean ideal of the $\mathfrak{iso}(1,3)$ algebra is regarded as a translation subalgebra and is divided into the time translation and space translations as a 1d and a 3d representation, respectively, of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ sub-algebra of the $\mathfrak{so}(1,3)$ sub-algebra and (3) the algebra is invariant under the suitably defined parity and time-reversal operation [1].

Clearly, in the above algebraic sense, the generator set $(H, \mathbf{P}_i, \mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{J}_i)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &H = \partial_t,\ \mathbf{P}_i = \partial_i, \\ &\mathbf{K}_i = (t\partial_i + \frac{1}{c^2}x^i\partial_t),\ \mathbf{J}_i = \epsilon_{ij}{}^{k}x^j\partial_k. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

spans a Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of the ordinary Poincaré transformations group

$$x'^{\mu} = L^{\mu}{}_{\nu}x^{\nu} + la^{\mu}, \qquad L \in SO(1,3), \tag{2}$$

where l is a constant having dimension of length, and a^{μ} are dimensionless parameters.

Remarkably, there exists another generator set $(H', \mathbf{P}'_i, \mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{J})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &H' = -c^2l^{-2}tx^{\kappa}\partial_{\kappa}\ (= cP'_0), \quad \mathbf{P}'_i = l^{-2}x^ix^{\kappa}\partial_{\kappa} \\ &\mathbf{K}_i = (t\partial_i + \frac{1}{c^2}x^i\partial_t), \quad \mathbf{J}_i = \epsilon_{ij}{}^{k}x^j\partial_k, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which also spans a Poincaré algebra in the above sense [2, 3],

$$\begin{aligned} &[H', \mathbf{P}'_i] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{P}'_i, \mathbf{P}'_j] = 0, \quad [H', \mathbf{K}_i] = \mathbf{P}'_i, \\ &[\mathbf{P}'_i, \mathbf{K}_j] = \frac{1}{c^2}H'\delta_{ij}, \quad [\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{K}_j] = \frac{1}{c^2}\epsilon_{ij}{}^{k}\mathbf{J}_k, \\ &[\mathbf{J}_i, \mathbf{J}_j] = -\epsilon_{ij}{}^{k}\mathbf{J}_k, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the indexes are lowered and raised by $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. They are the generators of the Poincaré transformation group

$$x'^{\mu} = \frac{L^{\mu}{}_{\nu}x^{\nu}}{1 + l^{-1}b_{\mu}x^{\mu}} \tag{5}$$

with dimensionless parameters b_{μ}.

It should be noted that the new generator set does not generate the isometry of the Minkowski space-time.

---

[*] Electronic address: `huangcg@ihep.ac.cn`
[†] Electronic address: `ytian@gucas.ac.cn`
[‡] Electronic address: `wuxn@amss.ac.cn`
[§] Electronic address: `zx-dmp@tsinghu.edu.cn`
[¶] Electronic address: `zhoub@bnu.edu.cn`

Instead, it preserves the light cone at the origin in the Minkowski space-time. Therefore, the Poincaré group with the new generator set is referred to as the second Poincaré group[1] and denoted as P_2 in the following. H' and P'_i are called the pseudo-translations [2, 3] or $\mathfrak{p}_2$-translations.

New geometry with the Poincaré symmetry. — It is natural to ask: is there a 4d geometry which is invariant under the second Poincaré transformations[2]?

The following no-go theorem answers the question in conventional way. *There is no tensor field $\boldsymbol{g} = g_{\mu\nu}\, dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ with the following three conditions satisfied simultaneously: (1) $\boldsymbol{g}$ is smooth; (2) $\boldsymbol{g}$ is non-degenerate everywhere; (3) $\boldsymbol{g}$ is invariant under the $\mathfrak{p}_2$-translations.*

It implies that the P_2-invariant metric on the 4-d underlying manifold must be degenerate if it exists. For a degenerate geometry, more geometric information should be assigned.

It can be checked that $(M, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h}, \nabla)$ is invariant under P_2 transformations, where $\boldsymbol{g}$ is a 4d type-(0,2) degenerate symmetric tensor field

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{g} &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu \\ &= \frac{l^2}{(x\cdot x)^2}(\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\tau} - \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\tau})x^\rho x^\tau dx^\mu dx^\nu, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

$\boldsymbol{h}$ is a 4d type-(2,0) degenerate symmetric tensor field

$$\boldsymbol{h} = h^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu \otimes \partial_\nu = l^{-4}(x\cdot x)x^\mu x^\nu \partial_\mu\partial_\nu \tag{7}$$

with $x\cdot x = \eta_{\mu\nu}x^\mu x^\nu > 0$, and ∇ is a connection compatible to $\boldsymbol{g}$ and $\boldsymbol{h}$, i.e.

$$\nabla_\lambda\, g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\lambda\nu} g_{\mu\kappa} - \Gamma^\kappa_{\mu\lambda} g_{\kappa\nu} = 0 \tag{8}$$

and

$$\nabla_\lambda\, h^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\lambda h^{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^\nu_{\lambda\kappa} h^{\mu\kappa} + \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\kappa} h^{\kappa\nu} = 0, \tag{9}$$

respectively, with connection coefficients,

$$\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} = -\frac{(x_\nu\delta^\mu_\lambda + \delta^\mu_\nu x_\lambda)}{x\cdot x}. \tag{10}$$

In other words, $\forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \subset TM$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{g} = g_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\xi^\lambda + g_{\mu\lambda}\partial_\nu\xi^\lambda + g_{\lambda\nu}\partial_\mu\xi^\lambda = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\boldsymbol{h} = h^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\lambda}\xi^\lambda - h^{\mu\lambda}\partial_\lambda\xi^\nu - h^{\lambda\nu}\partial_\lambda\xi^\mu = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \nabla] = 0, \tag{13}$$

are valid simultaneously, or Eqs.(6), (7), and (10) are invariant under the coordinate transformation (5) and its inverse transformation,

$$x = \frac{L^{-1}x'}{1 - l^{-1}(b\cdot L^{-1}x')} = \frac{L^{-1}x'}{1 - l^{-1}(b'\cdot x')}. \tag{14}$$

The curvature tensor of the space-time is, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} R^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu\rho} &= \partial_\nu\Gamma^\sigma{}_{\mu\rho} - \partial_\rho\Gamma^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\tau\nu}\Gamma^\tau{}_{\mu\rho} - \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\tau\rho}\Gamma^\tau{}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= l^{-2}(\delta^\sigma_\nu g_{\mu\rho} - \delta^\sigma_\rho g_{\mu\nu}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It is antisymmetric in the latter two indexes and satisfies the Ricci and Bianchi identities. The Ricci curvature tensor is then

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = -3l^{-2}g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{16}$$

They are obviously invariant under P_2 transformations. Eqs.(15) and (16) are similar to those of the maximum-symmetric space-times.

In order to see the manifold more transparently, let us consider the coordinate transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} x^0 &= l^2\eta^{-1}\cosh(r/l), \\ x^1 &= l^2\eta^{-1}\sinh(r/l)\sin\theta\cos\phi, \\ x^2 &= l^2\eta^{-1}\sinh(r/l)\sin\theta\sin\phi, \\ x^3 &= l^2\eta^{-1}\sinh(r/l)\cos\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Under the coordinate transformation, Eqs.(6), (7), and (10) become

$$\boldsymbol{g} = -(dr^2 + l^2\sinh^2(r/l)d\Omega_2^2), \tag{18}$$

$$\boldsymbol{h} = (\partial_\eta)^2, \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar\Gamma^\eta_{ij} = -l^{-2}\eta g_{ij} \\ &\bar\Gamma^r_{\theta\theta} = -l\sinh(r/l)\cosh(r/l), \quad \bar\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi} = \bar\Gamma^r_{\theta\theta}\sin^2\theta \\ &\bar\Gamma^\theta_{r\theta} = \bar\Gamma^\theta_{\theta r} = \bar\Gamma^\phi_{r\phi} = \bar\Gamma^\phi_{\phi r} = \frac{1}{l\tanh(r/l)} \\ &\bar\Gamma^\theta_{\phi\phi} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta, \quad \bar\Gamma^\phi_{\theta\phi} = \bar\Gamma^\phi_{\phi\theta} = \cot\theta \\ &\text{others vanish.} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

respectively, where an over bar represents that the quantity takes the value in the coordinate system $\{\bar{x}^0, \bar{x}^i\} = \{\eta, r, \theta, \phi\}$. Clearly, Eqs. (18) and (19) define the non-degenerate 3d metric $\boldsymbol{g}_3$ and 1d contravariant metric $\boldsymbol{h}_1$, respectively. The above structures show that the manifold is locally $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{H}_3$, where 3d hyperboloid $\mathbb{H}_3$ has unit 'radius'. Under the P_2-transformations (5), points run on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{H}_3$. The points satisfying $1 + l^{-1}b\cdot x = 0$ will be transformed to infinity in the new coordinate system x' under the coordinate transformation (5). In particular, when $L^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu$, they correspond to the points being transformed from (η, r, θ, ϕ) to $(0, r, \theta, \phi)$. Eqs(18), (19), (20) and the above transformation property show the geometric structure can be smoothly extended to $\eta = 0$ and $\eta < 0$. Therefore, the manifold is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_3$ globally.

---

[1] From a different approach, Aldrovandi *et al* also mention that there exists a second Poincaré group [4, 5].

[2] The first attempt to find the metric for the second Poincaré group is made by Aldrovandi *et al* [4, 5]. But, they give identically vanishing metric (i.e. $g_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$).

The Ricci curvature (16) reads in the new coordinate system

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = 3l^{-2}\mathrm{diag}(0, 1, \sinh^2(r/l), \sinh^2(r/l)\sin^2\theta). \tag{21}$$

Compared with the reduced vacuum Einstein field equation with a cosmological constant

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{22}$$

the new geometry may be regarded as the P_2-invariant solution of the vacuum Einstein field equation with the cosmological constant $-3l^{-2}$. The solution provides a static cosmological space-time with a Lobachevski space.

Recall that the Ashtekar extension of general relativity admits the degenerate geometries [6, 7], which satisfy the gauge, vector, and scalar constraints. For the solution, the non-zero components of the densitized triad and Ashtekar connection[3] $A^i_a = \Gamma^i_a$ read

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}^r_1 &= l^2\sinh^2(r/l)\sin\theta, \\ \tilde{E}^\theta_2 &= l\sinh(r/l)\sin\theta, \\ \tilde{E}^\phi_3 &= l\sinh(r/l), \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^3_\theta &= \cosh(r/l), \\ \Gamma^1_\phi &= \cos\theta, \\ \Gamma^2_\phi &= -\cosh(r/l)\sin\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

respectively. The constraints are

$$\mathcal{D}_a\tilde{E}^a_i = \partial_a\tilde{E}^a_i - \epsilon_{ij}{}^k\Gamma^j_a\tilde{E}^a_k = 0 \tag{25}$$

$$F^i_{ab}\tilde{E}^a_i = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$\epsilon_i{}^{jk}F^i_{ab}\tilde{E}^a_j\tilde{E}^b_k = l^{-2}\epsilon^{ijk}\underset{\sim}{\eta}_{abc}\tilde{E}^a_i\tilde{E}^b_j\tilde{E}^c_k, \tag{27}$$

where

$$F^i_{ab} = 2\partial_{[a}\Gamma^i_{b]} - \epsilon^i{}_{jk}\Gamma^j_a\Gamma^k_b. \tag{28}$$

Remember that the indexes are lowered and raised by $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ and thus $-\delta_{ij}$. It again corresponds to the solution with $\Lambda = -3l^{-2}$ [8].

Furthermore, the 4d volume element on the manifold, defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = l^2\sinh^2(r/l)\sin\theta d\eta\wedge dr\wedge d\theta\wedge d\phi, \tag{29}$$

is invariant under the P_2-transformations, so the manifold is orientable. Moreover, the tensor $\boldsymbol{h}$ defines an invariant vector field ∂_η which is regular on the whole manifold. Compared with the Newton-Cartan case, it gives an absolute time direction and, therefore, the space-time is obviously time orientable.

Finally, one may prove that *the obtained covariant degenerate metric* $\boldsymbol{g}$*, contravariant degenerate metric* $\boldsymbol{h}$ *and the connection* ∇ *are unique, nontrivial, compatible ones which are invariant under the* $\mathfrak{p}_2$*-transfomrations.*

The motion for free particles. — If the motion for free particles is still determined by the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda}\frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda}\frac{dx^\lambda}{d\lambda} = 0, \tag{30}$$

as usual, it gives rise to the 'uniform rectilinear' motion

$$x^i = a^i x^0 + lb^i \tag{31}$$

with dimensionless constants a^i and b^i, in which x^0 and x^i are regarded as the 'temporal' and 'spatial' coordinates, respectively. In the $\mathbb{H}_3$ space, one may introduce the Beltrami coordinates $z^i = lx^i/x^0$. Then, Eq.(31) reads

$$z^i = \frac{b^i}{\cosh(r/l)}\eta + la^i. \tag{32}$$

When $r \ll l$, it reduces to $z^i = b^i\eta + la^i$. This is a uniform rectilinear motion, because η and z^i are time and spatial coordinates, respectively, in the conventional sense.

The 'uniform rectilinear' motion (31) can also be obtained from the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{mlc}{x\cdot x}\sqrt{(\eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\eta\tau} - \eta_{\mu\eta}\eta_{\nu\tau})x^\eta x^\tau\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}. \tag{33}$$

The Euler-Lagrangian equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &[(x\cdot x)(\dot{x}\cdot\dot{x}) - (x\cdot\dot{x})^2]\ddot{x}_\kappa + (\dot{x}\cdot\ddot{x})[(x\cdot\dot{x})x_\kappa - (x\cdot x)\dot{x}_\kappa] \\ &\qquad +(x\cdot\ddot{x})[(x\cdot\dot{x})\dot{x}_\kappa - (\dot{x}\cdot\dot{x})x_\kappa] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The nonzero determinant of its coefficients for $\ddot{x}$ requires $\ddot{x}_\kappa = 0$.

It should be noted that even though c is finite and invariant in the theory, it does not serves as a limit speed. It only appears in x^0- or η-expression to ensure their dimension to be L. Similarly, l is not any limit length though it is finite and invariant.

Concluding remarks. — In addition to the ordinary Poincaré group preserving the metric of Minkowski spacetime, there is the second Poincaré symmetry preserving the geometric structure $(M, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h}, \nabla)$. A remarkable feature for the new geometry $(M, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{h}, \nabla)$ is that it is somewhat like the Galilei and Carroll space-times in which the metrics of space and time split, i.e. one may introduce the non-degenerate metrics for the space and time separately. Therefore, the new kinematics is non-relativistic-like in this sense.

---

[3] In this case, the extrinsic curvature has no good definition.

From the algebraic point of view, $\mathfrak{p}_2$ algebra has the $SO(1,3)$ isotropy in which $\mathbf{K}_i$ serve as the boost and $(H', \mathbf{P}'_i)$ as the pseudo-translation generators. The geometrical analysis, however, shows that the generators may have very different meaning from those appear in the algebra. In fact, now the space 'translations' on $\mathbb{H}_3$ are generated by $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_i = \partial_{z^i} + l^{-2} z_i z^j \partial_{z^j} = \frac{c}{l}\mathbf{K}_i$ (where $z_i = -z^i$) but not $\mathbf{P}'_i$, while the boost generators $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}_i = -l^{-1} z_i \eta \partial_\eta = \frac{l}{c}\mathbf{P}'_i$. Therefore, the 'translations' in the space-time with $\mathfrak{p}_2$ symmetry are no longer generated by the Abelean ideal in the algebra and $SO(1,3)$ subgroup is no longer the isotropy of the space-time at each point. The isotropic group of the manifold is now $ISO(3)$ and the space-time is thus the homogeneous space

$$M = ISO(1,3)/ISO(3). \tag{34}$$

It is a new geometry satisfying all three assumptions in Ref. [1].

On the new space-time, the motions of free particles can be well defined. The mechanics, field theories and even gravity on the space-time need to be investigated in order to clarify the application of the new space-time. In fact, it has been shown that the P_2-invariant electromagnetic field equations can be set up in this space-time. We shall explore it elsewhere.

It is straightforward to generalize the 4d degenerate geometries to higher dimensional degenerate geometries. On the other hand, in the higher dimensional theories, there may also be the second Poincaré group as its subgroup of symmetry. Hence, the geometric structure may appear in a higher dimension.

Finally, the transformations (5) are in fact the subset of the linear fractional transformations with common denominator. Thus, it is closely related to the principle of relativity with two invariant constants [2, 3]. The relation of new geometry of the second Poincare group with these issues and the physical applications are still need to be explored further.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Prof. Guo, who gives us many valuable suggestions. We would also like to thank Prof. Z.-N. Hu, W. T. Ni and H.-X. Yang and Dr. H.-t. Wu for their helpful discussion. This work is supported by NSFC under Grant Nos. 10775140, 10605005, 10705048, 10731080, Knowledge Innovation Funds of CAS (KJCX3-SYW-S03), and the President Fund of GUCAS.

---

[1] H. Bacry and J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, Possible kinematics, J. Math. Phys. **9** 1605 (1968).

[2] H.-Y. Guo, H.-T. Wu, and B. Zhou, Phys. Lett. B **670** 437 (2009), arXiv:0809.3562.

[3] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, H.-T. Wu and B. Zhou, The principle of relativity, kinematics and algebraic relations, arXiv: 0812.0871.

[4] R. Aldrovandi and J. G. Pereira, A second Poincaré Group, arXiv:gr-qc/9809061.

[5] R. Aldrovandi, A. L. Barbosa, M. Calçada, and J. G. Pereira, Found. Phys. **33** 613 (2003).

[6] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2244 (1986); Phys. Rev. D **36**, 1587 (1987).

[7] T. Jacobson and L. Smolin, Nucl. Phys. B **299**, 295 (1988); I. Bentsson, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **4**, 5527 (1989); Class. Quantum Grav. **8**, 1847 (1991); M. Varadarajan, Class. Quantum Grav. **8**, L235 (1991).

[8] See, for example, L. Smolin, Phys. Lett. A 306, 66 (2002).